|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **stage 2 legal studies** | | | | |
| **Assessment Name:** | | When rights collide: Victims of Crime | | |
| **Assessment Type:** | | Folio | **Class Teacher:** |  |
| **Student Name:** | |  | | |
| **Due Dates:** | **Draft:** |  | **Final:** |  |
| **Purpose:**  To analyse and evaluate the legal principles and processes inherent in the adversarial system, to reach an informed opinion about the rights afforded opposing legal entities.  **Big Question:**  Should rights and obligations vary in different contexts?  **Description of assessment:**  After investigating competing rights and responsibilities in our legal system, respond to the following statement:  **The adversarial system is in need of reform to better uphold rights of crime victims while still protecting the rights of an accused.**  **Your responses should:**   * Articulate a clear stance that your essay will prove; * Clearly identify the conflicting rights in each argument and where those rights are established; * Evaluate specific examples where those rights have been applied in cases; * Be written in a formal essay style, with balanced evaluative paragraphs; * Accurately format legislation, court case citations and any other relevant sources; * Reference all sources, with both in-text footnotes and a complete works cited list; * Use correct legal terminology, to effectively communicate legal concepts, opinions and arguments; and * Be proofread before submitting. | | | | |
| **CONDITIONS: 1000 words maximum, excluding citations.**  This task will be completed over a seven-week period.  Whilst you will have class time to complete this task, it is expected and necessary for you to utilise homework to satisfactorily complete this task.  You must submit a complete draft with references included, on or before the draft due date. Failure to submit a complete draft will place you at risk of not succeeding.  Extensions must be sought in writing three school days prior to due dates.  You must adhere to the School’s Assessment Policy; your responses must build upon drafted work and be your own original work. Plagiarism is a breach of rules. | | | | |
| **Academic Integrity:**  I declare this assessment task is my own work, all sources have been properly acknowledged, and the assessment task contains no plagiarism.  Signed: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | | | | |

Performance Standards Stage 2 Legal Studies: Folio

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| - | Understanding and Application | Analysis and Evaluation | Communication |
| A | Perceptive understanding of the ways that the legal system balances competing tensions.  Astute application of legal principles and processes to specific contexts.  Thorough and focused research to select, apply, and appropriately acknowledge a range of relevant sources. | Perceptive analysis and evaluation of concepts, legal principles, processes, or problems.  Insightful evaluation of arguments or issues to reach a convincing conclusion and/or make informed recommendations. | Clear and effective communication of legal concepts, opinions, and arguments. |
| B | Substantial understanding of the ways that the legal system balances competing tensions.  Proficient application of legal principles and processes to specific contexts.  Well-informed research to select and appropriately acknowledge a range of relevant sources. | Well-considered analysis and evaluation of concepts, legal principles, processes, or problems.  Well-considered evaluation of legal arguments or issues to reach a mostly convincing conclusion and/or make mostly informed recommendations. | Mostly clear and effective communication of legal concepts, opinions, and arguments. |
| C | Sound understanding of the ways that the legal system balances competing tensions.  Generally informed application of legal principles and processes to specific contexts.  Adequate research to select and appropriately acknowledge a range of relevant sources. | Adequate analysis and evaluation of concepts, legal principles, processes, or problems.  Sound evaluation of legal arguments or issues to reach a conclusion and/or make recommendations. | Generally effective communication of legal concepts, opinions, and arguments. |
| D | Some understanding of the ways that the legal system balances competing tensions.  Partial application of legal principles and processes to specific contexts.  Some research to select and appropriately acknowledge a range of relevant sources. | Some analysis and evaluation of concepts, legal principles, processes, or problems.  Partial evaluation of legal arguments or issues to reach a conclusion and/or make recommendations. | Some communication of legal concepts, opinions, and arguments. |
| E | Limited understanding of the ways that the legal system balances competing tensions.  Limited application of legal principles and processes to specific contexts.  Rudimentary research, selection, and acknowledgement of a range of sources. | Limited analysis of concepts, legal principles, processes, or problems.  Limited consideration of legal arguments or issues to reach a vague or unsubstantiated conclusion and/or recommendation. | Limited communication of legal concepts, opinions, and arguments. |